

Smoking cessation programs in pregnancy (programs without significant face-to-face counseling)

Literature review updated December 2014.

As part of WSIPP’s research approach to identifying evidence-based programs and policies, WSIPP determines “what works” (and what does not work) to improve outcomes using an approach called meta-analysis. For detail on our methods, see our [Technical Documentation](#). At this time, WSIPP has not yet calculated benefits and costs for this topic.

Program Description: Smoking cessation counseling interventions tailored to pregnant smokers without the intensive face-to-face counseling. Most of these programs offer phone counseling.

Meta-Analysis of Program Effects

Outcomes measured	No. of effect sizes	Treatment N	Adjusted effect sizes and standard errors used in the benefit-cost analysis						Unadjusted effect size (random effects model)	
			First time ES is estimated			Second time ES is estimated			ES	p-value
			ES	SE	Age	ES	SE	Age		
Regular smoking	9	1759	-0.235	0.094	26	n/a	n/a	n/a	-0.235	0.013

Meta-analysis is a statistical method to combine the results from separate studies on a program, policy, or topic in order to estimate its effect on an outcome. WSIPP systematically evaluates all credible evaluations we can locate on each topic. The outcomes measured are the types of program impacts that were measured in the research literature (for example, crime or educational attainment). Treatment N represents the total number of individuals or units in the treatment group across the included studies.

An effect size (ES) is a standard metric that summarizes the degree to which a program or policy affects a measured outcome. If the effect size is positive, the outcome increases. If the effect size is negative, the outcome decreases.

Adjusted effect sizes are used to calculate the benefits from our benefit cost model. WSIPP may adjust effect sizes based on methodological characteristics of the study. For example, we may adjust effect sizes when a study has a weak research design or when the program developer is involved in the research. The magnitude of these adjustments varies depending on the topic area.

WSIPP may also adjust the second ES measurement. Research shows the magnitude of some effect sizes decrease over time. For those effect sizes, we estimate outcome-based adjustments which we apply between the first time ES is estimated and the second time ES is estimated. We also report the unadjusted effect size to show the effect sizes before any adjustments have been made. More details about these adjustments can be found in our [Technical Documentation](#).

Citations Used in the Meta-Analysis

- Coleman, E.A., Parry, C., Chalmers, S., & Min, S. J. (2006). The care transitions intervention: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 166(17), 1822-8.
- Coleman, E.A., Smith, J. D., Frank, J. C., Min, S.-J., Parry, C., & Kramer, A. M. (2004). Preparing Patients and Caregivers to Participate in Care Delivered Across Settings: The Care Transitions Intervention. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 52 (11), 1817-1825.
- Laramee, A.S., Levinsky, S.K., Sargent, J., Ross, R., & Callas, P. (2003). Case management in a heterogeneous congestive heart failure population: a randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 163(7), 809-17.
- Naylor, M., Brooten, D., Jones, R., Lavizzo-Mourey, R., Mezey, M., & Pauly, M. (1994). Comprehensive discharge planning for the hospitalized elderly: a randomized clinical trial. *Annals of internal Medicine*, 120(12), 999-1006.
- Naylor, M.D., Brooten, D.A., Campbell, R.L., Maislin, G., McCauley, K.M., & Schwartz, J.S. (2004). Transitional Care of Older Adults Hospitalized with Heart Failure: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 52(5), 675-684.
- Parry, C., Min, S.J., Chugh, A., Chalmers, S., & Coleman, E.A. (2009). Further application of the care transitions intervention: results of a randomized controlled trial conducted in a fee-for-service setting. *Home Health Care Services Quarterly*, 28, 2-3.
- Rich, M.W., Vinson, J.M., Sperry, J.C., Shah, A.S., Spinner, L.R., Chung, M.K., & Davila-Roman, V. (1993). Prevention of readmission in elderly patients with congestive heart failure: results of a prospective, randomized pilot study. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 8(11), 585-90.

Rich, M.W., Beckham, V., Wittenberg, C., Leven, C.L., Freedland, K.E., & Carney, R.M. (1995). A Multidisciplinary Intervention to Prevent the Readmission of Elderly Patients with Congestive Heart Failure. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 333(18), 1190-1195.

Riegel, B., Carlson, B., Glaser, D., Kopp, Z., & Romero, T.E. (2002). Standardized telephonic case management in a Hispanic heart failure population. *Disease Management and Health Outcomes*, 10(4), 241-249.

For further information, contact:
(360) 664-9800, institute@wsipp.wa.gov

Printed on 12-13-2016



Washington State Institute for Public Policy

The Washington State Legislature created the Washington State Institute for Public Policy in 1983. A Board of Directors—representing the legislature, the governor, and public universities—governs WSIPP and guides the development of all activities. WSIPP's mission is to carry out practical research, at legislative direction, on issues of importance to Washington State.