

## Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) for Children with ADHD

### Program description:

Cognitive training and cognitive-behavioral therapies are included in this program grouping. Both target problem-solving in order to reduce impulsive behavior; specific strategies include self-monitoring, modeling/role playing, self-instruction, generation of alternatives, and reinforcement.

Typical age of primary program participant: 9

Typical age of secondary program participant: N/A

### Meta-Analysis of Program Effects

Outcomes Measured	Primary or Secondary Participant	No. of Effect Sizes	Unadjusted Effect Sizes (Random Effects Model)			Adjusted Effect Sizes and Standard Errors Used in the Benefit-Cost Analysis					
			ES	SE	p-value	First time ES is estimated			Second time ES is estimated		
						ES	SE	Age	ES	SE	Age
Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms	P	7	0.04	0.15	0.80	0.01	0.15	9	0.01	0.06	14

### Benefit-Cost Summary

The estimates shown are present value, life cycle benefits and costs. All dollars are expressed in the base year chosen for this analysis (2011). The economic discount rates and other relevant parameters are described in Technical Appendix 2.	Program Benefits					Costs	Summary Statistics			
	Partici-pants	Tax-payers	Other	Other Indirect	Total Benefits		Benefit to Cost Ratio	Return on Invest-ment	Benefits Minus Costs	Probability of a positive net present value
	-\$21	-\$8	-\$3	-\$4	-\$37	-\$985	-\$0.04	n/e	-\$1,021	3%


### Detailed Monetary Benefit Estimates

Source of Benefits	Benefits to:				
	Partici-pants	Tax-payers	Other	Other In-direct	Total Benefits
Crime	\$0	-\$1	-\$4	-\$1	-\$6
Earnings via high school graduation	-\$9	-\$3	\$0	-\$1	-\$13
Earnings via test scores	-\$13	-\$5	\$0	-\$1	-\$19
Health care costs for ADHD symptoms	\$0	\$1	\$1	-\$1	\$1

### Detailed Cost Estimates

The figures shown are estimates of the costs to implement programs in Washington. The comparison group costs reflect either no treatment or treatment as usual, depending on how effect sizes were calculated in the meta-analysis. The uncertainty range is used in Monte Carlo risk analysis, described in Technical Appendix 2.	Program Costs			Comparison Costs			Summary Statistics	
	Annual Cost	Program Duration	Year Dollars	Annual Cost	Program Duration	Year Dollars	Present Value of Net Program Costs (in 2011 dollars)	Uncertainty (+ or - %)
	\$1,913	1	2010	\$950	1	2010	\$963	10%

Source: Based on therapist time, as reported in the treatment studies, as well as training costs and a flat fee for materials (e.g., manuals). Hourly therapist cost was based on the latest actuarial estimates of reimbursement by modality in WA State (DSHS).



### Multiplicative Adjustments Applied to the Meta-Analysis

Type of Adjustment	Multiplier
1- Less well-implemented comparison group or observational study, with some covariates.	1.00
2- Well-implemented comparison group design, often with many statistical controls.	1.00
3- Well-done observational study with many statistical controls (e.g., IV, regression discontinuity).	1.00
4- Random assignment, with some RA implementation issues.	1.00
5- Well-done random assignment study.	1.00
Program developer = researcher	0.64
Unusual (not "real world") setting	1.00
Weak measurement used	0.5

Adjustment factors were generated by examining studies for the treatment of children or adolescents with externalizing problems. Meta-regressions were conducted to test for the impact of different methodological factors on unadjusted effect size. Because research design rating and unusual setting were not significant predictors of effect size, multipliers of 1.0 were assigned. A dummy variable representing involvement of a program developer in the research study was a statistically significant predictor ( $B = -.189, p = .056$ ), indicating that such studies had significantly more negative (i.e., larger) effect sizes than studies in which the developer was not involved. This coefficient was used to determine the 0.64 multiplier. Finally, we coded as weak measures outcomes that were based solely on the report of individuals who were involved in the intervention (either delivered it, as in the case of teachers, or received it, such as parents in a parenting program). Due to concern that such measures might be biased in favor of the programs reviewed, we utilized the standard Institute multiplier (0.5).

### Additional Notes

Some studies included in this analysis compared the program (CBT) to control conditions that did not consist of an active treatment. Because policymakers in Washington are interested in the impact of this program above and beyond currently implemented treatments (i.e., treatment as usual), we reduced the effect size of studies utilizing a no treatment or waitlist control group in half to reflect a smaller impact that would be expected if these studies compared CBT to treatment as usual.

Although the sample size of this analysis is slightly smaller than other analyses of mental health treatments, we felt confident drawing a conclusion about the efficacy of CBT for ADHD because the 7 studies included were methodologically rigorous.

### Studies Used in the Meta-Analysis

Abikoff, H. & Gittelman, R. (1985). Hyperactive children treated with stimulants: Is cognitive training a useful adjunct? *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 42(10), 953-961.

Abikoff, H., Ganeles, D., Reiter, G., Blum, C., Foley, C., & Klein, R. G. (1988). Cognitive training in academically deficient ADDH boys receiving stimulant medication. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 16(4), 411-432.

Bloomquist, M. L., August, G. J., & Ostrander, R. (1991). Effects of a school-based cognitive-behavioral intervention for ADHD children. *Journal of*

## Studies Used in the Meta-Analysis

*Abnormal Child Psychology*, 19(5), 591-605.

- Brown, R. T., Wynne, M. E., Borden, K. A., Clingerman, S. R., Geniesse, R., & Spunt, A. L. (1986). Methylphenidate and cognitive therapy in children with attention deficit disorder: A double-blind trial. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, 7(3), 163-174.
- Fehlings, D. L., Roberts, W., Humphries, T., & Dawe, G. (1991). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Does cognitive behavioral therapy improve home behavior? *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*, 12(4), 223-228.
- Kaduson, H. G., & Finnerty, K. (1995). Self-control game interventions for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *International Journal of Play Therapy*, 4(2), 15-29.