Washington State Institute for Public Policy

Strong African American Families
Public Health & Prevention: Home- or Family-based
Benefit-cost estimates updated December 2023. Literature review updated June 2016.

Current estimates replace old estimates. Numbers will change over time as a result of model inputs and monetization methods.

The WSIPP benefit-cost analysis examines, on an apples-to-apples basis, the monetary value of programs or policies to determine whether the benefits from the program exceed its costs. WSIPP's research approach to identifying evidence-based programs and policies has three main steps. First, we determine "what works" (and what does not work) to improve outcomes using a statistical technique called meta-analysis. Second, we calculate whether the benefits of a program exceed its costs. Third, we estimate the risk of investing in a program by testing the sensitivity of our results. For more detail on our methods, see our Technical Documentation.

Program Description: Strong African American Families (SAAF) is a seven-week community-based program developed for African American youth ages 11-12 and their caregivers. Families meet in interactive small groups with trained facilitators once a week for 2 hours. Lessons are intended to promote regulated, communicative parenting (monitoring and setting limits, clear communication around expectations about alcohol and sex, and racial socialization), as well as youth protective factors. The aim of this program is to prevent youth drug and alcohol abuse, and postpone youth sexual involvement.

Benefit-Cost Summary Statistics Per Participant

Benefits to:			
Taxpayers	$757	Benefit to cost ratio	$2.29
Participants	$1,257	Benefits minus costs	$1,095
Others	$224	Chance the program will produce	
Indirect	($294)	benefits greater than the costs	56%
Total benefits	$1,944		
Net program cost	($850)		
Benefits minus cost	$1,095		

The estimates shown are present value, life cycle benefits and costs. All dollars are expressed in the base year chosen for this analysis (2022). The chance the benefits exceed the costs are derived from a Monte Carlo risk analysis. The details on this, as well as the economic discount rates and other relevant parameters are described in our Technical Documentation.

Meta-Analysis of Program Effects

Outcomes measured	Treatment age	Primary or secondary participant	No. of effect sizes	Treatment N	Adjusted effect sizes and standard errors used in the benefit-cost analysis						Unadjusted effect size (random effects model)	
					First time ES is estimated			Second time ES is estimated				
					ES	SE	Age	ES	SE	Age	ES	p-value
Alcohol use before end of middle school	11	Primary	1	326	-0.083	0.121	13	-0.083	0.121	13	-0.218	0.076
Alcohol use before end of high school	11	Primary	1	326	-0.051	0.090	16	-0.051	0.090	18	-0.134	0.137
Disruptive behavior disorder symptoms	11	Primary	1	241	-0.105	0.142	13	-0.058	0.088	16	-0.276	0.052
Major depressive disorder	38	Secondary	1	369	-0.016	0.083	40	-0.008	0.102	42	-0.043	0.608

Meta-analysis is a statistical method to combine the results from separate studies on a program, policy, or topic in order to estimate its effect on an outcome. WSIPP systematically evaluates all credible evaluations we can locate on each topic. The outcomes measured are the types of program impacts that were measured in the research literature (for example, crime or educational attainment). Treatment N represents the total number of individuals or units in the treatment group across the included studies.

An effect size (ES) is a standard metric that summarizes the degree to which a program or policy affects a measured outcome. If the effect size is positive, the outcome increases. If the effect size is negative, the outcome decreases.

Adjusted effect sizes are used to calculate the benefits from our benefit cost model. WSIPP may adjust effect sizes based on methodological characteristics of the study. For example, we may adjust effect sizes when a study has a weak research design or when the program developer is involved in the research. The magnitude of these adjustments varies depending on the topic area.

WSIPP may also adjust the second ES measurement. Research shows the magnitude of some effect sizes decrease over time. For those effect sizes, we estimate outcome-based adjustments which we apply between the first time ES is estimated and the second time ES is estimated. We also report the unadjusted effect size to show the effect sizes before any adjustments have been made. More details about these adjustments can be found in our Technical Documentation.

Detailed Monetary Benefit Estimates Per Participant

Affected outcome:	Resulting benefits:[1]	Benefits accrue to:				
		Taxpayers	Participants	Others[2]	Indirect[3]	Total
Alcohol use before end of middle school	Criminal justice system	$28	$0	$65	$14	$107
Disruptive behavior disorder symptoms	K-12 grade repetition	$3	$0	$0	$1	$4
Disruptive behavior disorder symptoms	K-12 special education	$60	$0	$0	$30	$89
Alcohol use before end of high school	Labor market earnings associated with alcohol abuse or dependence	$417	$983	$0	$0	$1,400
Alcohol use before end of high school	Property loss associated with alcohol abuse or dependence	$0	$1	$2	$0	$3
Disruptive behavior disorder symptoms	Health care associated with disruptive behavior disorder	$127	$36	$131	$63	$357
Alcohol use before end of high school	Mortality associated with alcohol	$0	$1	$0	$7	$9
	Subtotals	*$635*	*$1,021*	*$199*	*$116*	*$1,971*
From secondary participant						
Major depressive disorder	Labor market earnings associated with major depression	$97	$229	$0	$0	$326
Major depressive disorder	Health care associated with major depression	$25	$7	$26	$13	$70
Major depressive disorder	Mortality associated with depression	$0	$0	$0	$2	$2
	Subtotals	*$122*	*$236*	*$26*	*$14*	*$399*
Program cost	Adjustment for deadweight cost of program	$0	$0	$0	($425)	($425)
Totals		$757	$1,257	$224	($294)	$1,944

[1]In addition to the outcomes measured in the meta-analysis table, WSIPP measures benefits and costs estimated from other outcomes associated with those reported in the evaluation literature. For example, empirical research demonstrates that high school graduation leads to reduced crime. These associated measures provide a more complete picture of the detailed costs and benefits of the program.

[2]"Others" includes benefits to people other than taxpayers and participants. Depending on the program, it could include reductions in crime victimization, the economic benefits from a more educated workforce, and the benefits from employer-paid health insurance.

[3]"Indirect benefits" includes estimates of the net changes in the value of a statistical life and net changes in the deadweight costs of taxation.

Detailed Annual Cost Estimates Per Participant

	Annual cost	Year dollars	Summary	
Program costs	$713	2015	Present value of net program costs (in 2022 dollars)	($850)
Comparison costs	$0	2015	Cost range (+ or -)	10%

Treatment cost estimate reflects the per family cost to implement the Strong African American Families program. Cost data come from the developer at the Center for Family Research, Universty of Georgia, in 2015. The estimate includes start-up costs for materials and initial facilitator training, as well as per family implementation costs. We spread start-up costs across participating families, assuming three groups of facilitators each implementing two times per year, with the recommended 12 families per group.

The figures shown are estimates of the costs to implement programs in Washington. The comparison group costs reflect either no treatment or treatment as usual, depending on how effect sizes were calculated in the meta-analysis. The cost range reported above reflects potential variation or uncertainty in the cost estimate; more detail can be found in our Technical Documentation.

Benefits Minus Costs Over Time (Cumulative Discounted Dollars)


Cumulative net benefits

The graph above illustrates the estimated cumulative net benefits per-participant for the first fifty years beyond the initial investment in the program. We present these cash flows in discounted dollars. If the dollars are negative (bars below $0 line), the cumulative benefits do not outweigh the cost of the program up to that point in time. The program breaks even when the dollars reach $0. At this point, the total benefits to participants, taxpayers, and others, are equal to the cost of the program. If the dollars are above $0, the benefits of the program exceed the initial investment.

Benefits by Perspective Over Time (Cumulative Discounted Dollars)

Participant   Taxpayer   Others   Indirect

The graph above illustrates the breakdown of the estimated cumulative benefits (not including program costs) per-participant for the first fifty years beyond the initial investment in the program. These cash flows provide a breakdown of the classification of dollars over time into four perspectives: taxpayer, participant, others, and indirect. "Taxpayers" includes expected savings to government and expected increases in tax revenue. "Participants" includes expected increases in earnings and expenditures for items such as health care and college tuition. "Others" includes benefits to people other than taxpayers and participants. Depending on the program, it could include reductions in crime victimization, the economic benefits from a more educated workforce, and the benefits from employer-paid health insurance. "Indirect benefits" includes estimates of the changes in the value of a statistical life and changes in the deadweight costs of taxation. If a section of the bar is below the $0 line, the program is creating a negative benefit, meaning a loss of value from that perspective.

Taxpayer Benefits by Source of Value Over Time (Cumulative Discounted Dollars)

Legend: Crime | K to 12 Education | Health Care | Earnings

The graph above focuses on the subset of estimated cumulative benefits that accrue to taxpayers. The cash flows are divided into the source of the value.

Citations Used in the Meta-Analysis

Beach, S.R., Kogan, S.M., Brody, G.H., Chen, Y.F., Lei, M.K., & Murry, V.M. (2008). Change in caregiver depression as a function of the Strong African American Families Program. *Journal of Family Psychology, 22*(2), 241-52.

Brody, G.H., Kogan, S.M., Chen, Y.F., & Murry, V.M. (2008). Long-term effects of the Strong African American Families program on youths' conduct problems. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 43*(5), 474-481.

Brody, G.H., Chen, Y.F., Kogan, S.M., Murry, V.M., & Brown, A.C. (2010). Long-term effects of the Strong African American Families program on youths' alcohol use. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 78*(2) 281-5.

Washington State Institute for Public Policy